(3) 1

C.R.100/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No.4868/2005

PETITIONER

Surendra Pradhan, S/o.Late Shri Sundar Narayan Pradhan, Aged about 48 years, Occupation Service, R/o. Lalbagh Jagdalpur District Bastar (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4929/05
Presented by Shri Ashwini Shukla
dated 21/9/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary & Ministry, S.T. S.C. & Back Ward Classes, Development Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.

2. Deputy Secretary, S.T.S.C. & Back Ward Classes Development Department, D.K.S Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Commissioner, S.T.S.C. & Back Ward Classes Development Department, Ravi Shankar University, Raipur (C.G.)

4. The Collector, Jagdalpur, District Bastar (C.G.)

5. The Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Development, Jagdalpur District Bastar (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
21.9.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

प्रथम आयुक्त
बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)
बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(39)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.NO. 4868 ..... सन् 2005

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19.10.2005 Shri Ashok Kumar Shukla, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Arun Sao, Dy.G.A. for the State on advance copy. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is presently working on the post of Programme Inspector in Lalbagh, Jagdalpur (Bastar). He further submits that the gradation list dated 09.11.2000 (Annexure P-5) was published in which the name of petitioner was there at Serial No.9. He further submits that another gradation list was published on 12.6.2002 (Annexure P-6) in which also the name of the petitioner was at Serial No.5. The grievance of the petitioner is that now in the 3rd list which has been published on 26.4.2003 (Annexure P-8) the name of the petitioner does not find place. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents should publish a proper gradation list showing the name of petitioner therein. However, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that for the present, this petition may be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the concerned authority and the concerned authority may be directed to dispose of his representation within the stipulated period granted by this Court. This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by learned State Counsel.	

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000

(40)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. No. 4868

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन् 2005**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	It is directed that if so advised, the petitioner may file a detailed representation raising all his grievances about his seniority and gradation within a period of 4 weeks alongwith a copy of this order and copy of this writ petition before the concerned authority and if such a representation is filed, the concerned authority shall dispose of the same as early as possible preferably within a period of 8 weeks from the date of filing/receipt of the said representation. With the above observations and direction, this petition stands finally disposed of. C.C. as per rules. Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge	

/Rao/

13/10/05
13/10/6